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ABSTRACT- In the current circumstance, the populace is expanding rapidly, thus, the urban areas are becoming wide by devouring farming and green space and the land esteem is expanding. Another point is the tall vertical structure speaks to the country. As it builds the notoriety of the nation. To keep away from this the structures began developing vertical and thin as it arrives at a specific height the wind force governs the building as the main load more than gravity load, as the building grows higher the stability reduces. Due to a lack of design to face wind loads, as the cross-section decreases the stability reduces. And these structures are more prone to earthquakes and wind. Therefore, much research is proceeding to balance out the structure from an increasingly affordable perspective. To know the best performance and economical for the lateral force-resisting system of different types of 30 story buildings are modelled in ETABS, assuming the site location as BHUJ Gujarat, India. For the different structural systems that are compared for minimum story displacement and minimum story drift, these are the qualities that characterize the human comforts for the structure. The structural system used is conventional, shear wall, truss belt, outrigger, diagrid, and fluid viscous damper are used to compare the best performance of the structure. Above all diagrid and viscous damper shows the less displacement and drift values when compared to others but from the economic point of view viscous damper gives the best result from all the above.
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I. INTRODUCTION
The populace in the urban areas is expanding every day, accordingly, the expense of land is increasing and vegetation is diminishing, because of diminished vegetation contamination is expanded, in this circumstance, new development strategies are rehearsing to defeat all the issues on tall building development. At the point when the tall structure begins developing higher, they began confronting numerous issues like material selection, wind and earthquake governing, and other factors. From the structural point of view when the high-rise building started growing, they faced a lot of structural stability issues governed by wind and ground motions. To stable, the new structural systems are started practicing like a shear wall, belt truss, outrigger, diagrid, and other structural stability systems. So, it is important to know how these structures behave during wind and earthquake. The tall structures have been begun mostly for business space at that point, later on, it began embracing to private and blended-use space. Numerous tall structures have come in to practice from the park row building in 1899 started with a height of 119 m to Bhuj Khalifa in 2009 rising to 828 m in height (Figure 1), these shows that how the construction techniques are developed from past recent years [1][2][3][4].

Fig 1: Park building & Bhuj Khalifa [5]
II. AIM AND OBJECTIVES
The aim is to study the behavior of different lateral force resisting systems in tall structures.

The objective of this study is to:
- Analysis of the tall building with different lateral force resisting system to find out the parameters like displacement, drift, and time period.
- Comparing the diagrid lateral force resisting system with a damper system provided at different locations.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW
Numerous speculations have been proposed to explain how the structure behaves under lateral force. Although the literature review covers a wide variety of theories, this will review will focus on some major aspects on how the different type of structure will act under the influence of lateral force and other things like the importance of the structural system in tall structures how the building will act with and without lateral stability systems and how they influence the economy and the future trends.
- Raju and Reddy (2019). Worked on how the shear wall in a building increases performance. He has given complete details on how the shear wall plays an important role in the seismic zone and how to place the shear wall economically in the building [6].
- Bhavsar et al. (2019). Worked on how the story displacement is reduced due to the shear wall, how the shape of the shear wall will affect the building performance both in X and Y direction, and the increase in the percentage of the shear wall how it will influence the stiffness of the building [7].
- Kala et al. (2017). Worked on how the outrigger and truss belt system influence on lateral behavior of the structure and the optimum location of the outrigger and truss belt system under the wind load is 0.25-0.33 times the height of the building for the wind loads [8].
- Khanorkar et al. (2016). Worked on the various techniques and methods used to investigate the uses of truss belts and outriggers in tall structures. He differentiated the deflection criteria and bending moment criteria [9].
- Tavakoli et al. (2019). Worked on the analysis of the outrigger and truss belt system and how the soil structure interaction effects on the best location of the truss belt and outrigger system also analysis is done for inelastic analysis for both with a fixed base and with soil-structure interaction [10].
- Ganatra et al. (2017). Worked on 50 story outrigger system for varying depth. For 50 story building decrease in depth of outrigger in building to 2/3 rd,1/3 rd and 1/2 reduces the lateral displacement up to 3%-4% and 5%-6%. and also worked not only on how to control lateral displacement but also on how to control inter-story drift [11].
- Shah et al. (2016). Has analyzed a work on the behavior of outriggers in tall buildings, from the work it is known that by using the virtual outrigger it reduces the load on the structure and structurer made economical, and by seeing the comparison from the virtual outrigger to conventional outrigger with truss belt, a combination of outrigger and truss belt perform better [12].
- Somvanshi et al. (2019). Has worked on comparison on orthogonal and diagrid structure concluded that the diagrid structures have a lesser time period when compared to orthogonal and the cost is the same for both the structural system [13].
- Moon (2016) worked on the design of the diagrid structural system he has concluded that the varying angle of diagrid configuration can produce a different result for tall structures under lateral loads and it can be known that the importance of the diagrid structural system in high rise building [14].
- Kachchhi et al. (2019), has done work on comparative analysis on diagrid with another structural system, form the study it is concluded that the diagrid performs better than other structural system and also discussed how it affects the increase in base shear in diagrid structural system [15].
- Lee et al. (2010). Has analyzed a diagrid for the tall building based on the optimized diagrid angle and to understand the global diagrid mechanism by using the topological technique [16].
- Cetin et al. (2019). Has analyzed a work on the optimal design of viscous damper in structure to reduce the lateral force, as it can decrease the amplitude of the building, and it can be concluded that the differential evolution algorithm can be used to solve the damper problem [17].
- Sajan et al. (2016). Worked on how the viscous damper will affect the multi-story building as it reduces the lateral displacement and the weight of the building will be slightly higher by using viscous damper by comparing to the conventional model.
- Infanti et al. (2008). Has worked on how the damper will affect the high-rise building, by the use of viscous damper it will significantly reduce the lateral force in the building by saving the huge amount of cost and by analyzing with performance-based design [18].

IV. METHODOLOGY
This section will give the framework of research techniques that are followed in this examination, it gives the data on how the information is gathered to play out the investigation, in this model shear wall, truss belt, outrigger, diagrid, and viscous damper are considered, these auxiliary frameworks are displayed in ETABS to fulfill the aim and objectives. Much research has been done to know the performance of diagrid and other structural systems but here we will be discussing how all the structures will behave and by choosing the best performance while comparing them to each other by drift, displacement, time period, and consumption of steel.

A. Fundamental Assumptions for Analysis
- The material of the structure is continuous and elastic.
- The relation between stress and strain is linear.
- The deformation of the building is caused due to the applied loads are small and do not change the original design diagram.
- The superposition principle is applicable.
B. Assumptions for Modelling

- Analysis components are lined up with the highest points of steel bars in floors in this way overlooking the little counterbalances in the focus line between light emissions profundity.
- The horizontal offset of edge beams is usually small enough to be ignored.
- All columns are typically modeled as being co-linear along their centerline.
- Small offsets of columns from grids are typically ignored in design.
- To ensure that all the lateral loading is carried by the braced or moment frame, it is typical to assume that all they are columns not in braced bays or moment frames are pinned at each floor level, so they do not attract lateral loads.

C. Parameters of The Model

Table 1 depicts the parameters used in the current study.

Table 1: Parameter of the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Property</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade of steel</td>
<td>Fe250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of concrete</td>
<td>M25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slab</td>
<td>150mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Columns</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steel square column from 600x600x80mm to 800x800x60mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Beam</td>
<td>ISMB 500 for all the models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear wall thickness</td>
<td>250mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truss Belt system</td>
<td>BRC 120X20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outrigger System</td>
<td>BRC 120X20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagrid System</td>
<td>Dia Pipe of 180x40mm at the Bottom storey &amp; 130X25mm at the Upper storey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous Damper Area</td>
<td>FVD 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area of Building</td>
<td>9720mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Height of Building</td>
<td>90m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of Stories</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor to Floor Height</td>
<td>3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Bhuj, Gujarat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Models

a. Conventional System

In the conventional structural system, all the frame members are of special moment resisting frame with no additional lateral force resisting system is considered, considering the conventional system as a reference for comparing to other lateral force resisting system to find out the objectives (Figure 2).

b. Shear Wall Structural System

Shear wall act as a panel for horizontal loads, in the model shear wall, is assigned at all corners of the building from top to base of the structure (Figure 3).
c. Truss Belt And Outrigger System

Truss belt (Figure 4 & 6) and outrigger (Figure 5) which is provided around the building are tied to the core structure, where the truss belt is provided at the top base and middle of the structure and outrigger is provided at the economical height of the structure to obtain best results [19-20].

d. Diagrid Structural System

For the Diagrid structural system, the diagrids are provided at the exterior to resist lateral loads and the interior column to take up gravity loads, the optimum angle is considered as a 60-75 degree from previous studies [21-22]. To obtain the best result (Figure 7).
e. **Viscous damper**

Based on Viscous damper three models are analyzed to know the best performance of the structure, in the first model the dampers are placed in the middle of the structure Figure 8, the second model consists of the dampers that are located at the intermediate level of the structure up to 15 floors Figure 9, in the third model the dampers are placed from bottom floor to 15th floor, Figure 10 as dampers to know the best result of three models and later comparing to another lateral force-resisting system.

![Fig 8: Viscous Damper at the Middle](image1)

![Fig 9: Viscous Damper at an intermediate system](image2)

![Fig 10: Viscous Damper up to 15th floor.](image3)

V. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A. **Displacement**

![Fig 11: Maximum Displacement](image4)
Comparison of different lateral force resisting systems like shear wall, truss belt, outrigger and diagrid system. The diagrid structural system performs better in terms of displacement.

Comparison of different lateral force resisting systems like shear wall, truss belt, outrigger and diagrid system. The diagrid structural system performs better in terms of drift.

B. Drift

- Comparison of 3 models with dampers at different locations, the model damper up to 15th floor performs well.
- Comparison to diagrid and damper model, damper up to 15th floor performs better in the intermediate (12th to 18th) storey displacement, but for top storey displacement diagrid system performs slightly better.

C. Time Period

- Comparing the 3 damper models which are located at different locations, damper in the middle floor performs better.
- Comparing to the diagrid and damper, damper in the middle floor performs better in the intermediate storey drift while in the top storey diagrid performs better.

By analyzing the models using the response spectrum method in the first mode the diagrid gives a lesser time period, when compared to the other structural systems.
D. Consumption of Steel

When optimizing all the models for best performance the shear wall structure consumes less steel when compared to other models.

![Graph showing steel consumption](image)

Fig 16: Consumptions of steel.

VI. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions were drawn from the present study:

- Comparing the shear wall system, truss belt system, outrigger system and diagrid structure system, Diagrid performs better in terms of displacement, drift, and time period.

- Comparison of 3 models with dampers at different locations, the model damper up to 15th floor performs well in terms of displacement and middle story performs better in terms of drift.

- Comparison to diagrid and Viscous damper model, viscous damper which are provided up to 15th floor performs better in the intermediate floor, (12th to 18th) in terms of storey displacement, but for top story displacement diagrid system performs slightly better. In terms of story drift damper in the middle floor performs better while in the top story diagrid performs better.

- When compared to conventional to other structural system considering Displacement, the shear Wall gives 17% of the best result, Truss Belt gives 21% result, Outrigger gives 21% result, diagrid gives 64% result, Damper at middle gives 30%, Damper at intermediate level gives 42% result and Damper at half story gives 61% result.

- When considering Drift, the shear Wall gives 17 % of the best result, Truss Belt gives 7.1 % result, Outrigger gives 60% result, diagrid gives 76% result, Damper at middle gives 11.48%, Damper at intermediate level gives 69% result and Damper at half story gives 82% result.
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