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Abstract— An adjacent graph is a connected bipartite \{0,1\}-semigraph which contains exactly one part in which any two vertices have exactly one common neighbour. Mulder \[1\] observed that; \( (0,\lambda) \)-semigraphs are regular. Furthermore a lower bound for the degree of \( (0,n) \)-semi graphs with diameter at least four was derived by Mulder \[1\]. In this paper, we find all -graphs and \((0,1)\)-graphs. Furthermore, we determined some basic properties of adjacent graphs, where, \( \lambda \geq 1 \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Let us first recall some definitions and results. For more details, (see \[1\]). To facilitate the general definition of a graph, we first introduce the concept of the unordered product of a set \( V \) with itself.

Recall that the ordered product or cartesian product of a set \( V \) with itself, denoted by \( V \times V \), is defined to be the set of all ordered pairs \( (s, t) \), where \( s \in V \) and \( t \in V \). Here \( (s, t) \) and \( (t, s) \) are considered to be distinct entities except when \( s = t \). In a similar vein, the symbol \( \{s, t\} \) will denote an unordered pair.

A graph \( G=(V,E) \) consists of a finite nonempty set \( V \) of vertices together with a prescribed set \( E \) of \( e \) unordered pairs of distinct vertices of \( V \). Each pair \( u=\{x,y\} \) of vertices in \( E \) is an edge of \( G \) and \( u \) is said to joins \( x \) and \( y \). We write \( u=xy \) and say that vertices \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent vertices; the vertex \( x \) and the edge \( u \) are incident with each other, as are \( y \) and \( u \). If two distinct edges \( u \) and \( v \) are incident with a common vertex, then they are adjacent edges. A vertex \( z \) which adjacents to two distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \) is called common neighbour of \( x \) and \( y \). The neighborhood of a vertex \( x \) is the set \( N(x) \) consists of all vertices which are adjacent with \( x \). The degree of a vertex \( p \) is the number \( d(p) \) of edges which are incident with it.

Let \( X \) be a subset of \( V \). The integer \( n \), where \( n + 1 = \max \{d(p) : p \in X\} \), is called the order of the set \( X \). The minimum degree among the vertices of \( G=(V,E) \) is denoted by \( g(G) \); If \( G=(V,E) \) contains a cycle, the girth of \( G=(V,E) \) denoted \( g(G) \) is the length of its shortest cycle.

Let \( G=(V,E) \) be a connected graph, \( X \) be a subset of \( V \), \( A \) be a finite subset of non-negative integers and \( n(x) \) be the total number of common neighbours of any two vertices \( x \) of \( X \). \( X \). The set \( X \) is called \( A \)-semiset if \( n(x) \geq 2 \) for any two vertices \( x \) of \( X \). If \( X \) is a \( A \)-semiset, but not \( B \)-semiset for any subset \( B \) of \( X \), the set \( X \) is called \( A \)-set. \( G=(V,E) \) is an A-semigraph (A-graph) if \( V \) is the \( A \)-semiset (A-set), respectively.

Mulder\[1\] observed that -semigraphs(\( \lambda \leq 2 \)) are regular. Furthermore a lower bound for the degree of -semigraphs with diameter at least four was derived by Mulder \[1\]. In this paper, we find all \(-\)graphs and \(-\)graphs. Furthermore, we determined basic properties of some adjacent graphs. A \{1\}-set.

Definition 1.1 A bigraph (or bipartite graph) \( G=(P,\lambda,L,E) \) is a graph.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. Let \( G=(P,\lambda,L,E) \) be a bigraph with parts \( P \) and \( L \). If the part \( P \) is a \{1\}-set, the part \( L \) is \{0,1\}-semiset and \( G=(P,\lambda,L,E) \) is adjacent or biadjacent.

Proof 2.1. Let \( G=(P,\lambda,L,E) \) be a bigraph with parts \( P \) and \( L \) and the part \( P \) be a \{1\}-set.

Assume that the part \( L \) does not \{0,1\}-semiset. Then the part \( L \) has at least two distinct vertices \( u \) and \( w \) having at least two distinct common neighbours \( x \) and \( y \) in the part \( P \). This contradicts to chosen of the part \( P \). Thus the part \( L \) is \{0,1\}-semiset and \( G=(P,\lambda,L,E) \) is adjacent or biadjacent.

Lemma 2.2. The intersection of any number of convex subgraphs of a graph \( G=(V,E) \) is a convex subgraph.

Proof 2.2. Let \( X_i \) be subset of \( V \) and \( X \) be the intersection of any number of convex graphs \( G_i=(X_i;\lambda_i,E_i) \) on \( X_i \) for any nonnegative integer \( i \).

We need only show that, if \( p \) and \( q \) are vertices of \( X \) and the vertices \( p \) and \( q \) have common neighbour \( r \), \( N(r)=X \) for each \( i \). But, any convex graph containing \( X \) contains the vertices \( p \) and \( q \), and so by de…nition the neighborhood \( N(r) \). Therefore, \( N(r) \) is in all convex graphs of which \( X \) is the intersection, and so \( N(r)=X \).

Proposition 2.1 Let \( X \) be any set of vertices of a graph \( G \). A convex subgraph which contains \( X \), but does not properly contain any convex subgraph which contains \( X \) is called the closure of \( X \) denoted by \( [X] \).

It is not obvious from the definition that the closure of \( X \) is a unique, but this follows lemma below. Thus, the closure of \( X \) is the smallest convex graph containing of \( X \). It is clear that \( [ \) in any graph \( G=(V,E) \). Also, for any subset \( X \) of \( V \), \( X \in [X] \) if \( X \subseteq [X] \) and if \( X \neq Y \) then \([X]=[Y]\).

Lemma 2.3. The closure of any subset \( X \) of a graph \( G \) is the intersection of all convex graphs on \( X \).

Proof 2.3. By lemma2.1, this intersection is a convex subgraph of \( G \). It is Definition 2.1 Let \( X \) be any set of vertices of a graph \( G \). If for each vertex \( x \) of \( X \) \( x=2[X] \), the set \( X \) is called independent. A basis of a \( G=(V,E) \) is an independent subset of \( V \) which generates \( V \).

It is not obvious from the definition that a basis of a partial adjacent bigraph \( G=(V,E) \) is not necessarily unique. The
incidence graph of Fano plane is a adjacent bigraph having many more different bases. For a given partial adjacent bigraph, do all bases have the same number of elements?

The answer is no, as can be seen by considering the example 2.1. above.

**Example 2.1.** Let \( P = \{ p_1; p_2; p_3; p_4; p_5; p_6; p_7; p_8; p_9 \} \) and \( L = \{ l_1; l_2; l_3; l_4; l_5; l_6; l_7; l_8; l_9 \} \). In particular, \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) have common neighbour. Thus \( G_0 \) has a common neighbour with vertex which is adjacent a generating set for \( G_0 \) that \( X \) generates its closure.

and \( r_{jk} = 1 \) then by assumption \( r_{ik} = a(\ell_k) = 1 \). If \( r_{ik} = 0 \) and \( r_{jk} = 1 \) then by assumption \( a(\ell_k) = a(l_k) \) so that it is easy to see that it is the smallest convex graph on \( X \) as any convex graph on \( X \) is included when we take the intersection. We say that \( X \) generates its closure.

Conversely, given a convex subgraph \( G_0 \), we say that \( X \) is a generating set for \( G_0 \) if \( [X] = G_0 \), so that also \( X \) generates \( G_0 \) has a common neighbour with vertex which is adjacent to \( l_k \). In particular, \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) have common neighbour. Thus \( a(\ell_i; \ell_j) = 1 \). Finally If \( r_{ik} = r_{jk} = 0 \), using the hypothesis once again, for a vertex \( q \) which is adjacent with \( l_k a(\ell_i; q) = 1 \). If the vertex \( p_i \) is adjacent with common neighbour of vertices \( p_i \) and \( a(\ell_i; p_i) = 1 \) and otherwise, apply the hypothesis one last time to get a common neighbour of vertices \( p_i \) and \( p_j \).

Therefore \( a(\ell_i; p_j) = 1 \), that is, \( G \) is adjacent.

**Proposition 1.8.** Let \( G = (B \cup W; E) \) be a(weakly adjacent) bigraph with \( v \cup b \) vertices and parts \( B \) and \( W \), \( |B| = v, |W| = b \) and \( B \) is weakly adjacent part of \( G \). Then if \( B \) is a adjacent part, \( \Sigma v(\ell_j) = v(\ell_j) \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( G \) is an adjacent bigraph. Then \( B \) is a adjacent part of \( G \). We count the number of pairs of vertices of \( B \) in two different ways. First of all, there are \( v \) pairs of vertices (counting \( \{p_i, p_j\} \) to be the same pair as \( \{p_j, p_i\} \) or \( v(\ell_j) \).

**Proposition 1.9.** Let \( G = (V; E) \) be a graph, \( P \) be a maximal nonadjacent vertex set of \( V \) and \( L = \{ V \setminus V \} \) \( N(\ell) \leftrightarrow N(\ell) \) and \( p, \ell \in L, \ell \neq 1 \) \( P \), \( \ell \in L, \ell \neq 1 \) \( P \) \( \ell \in L, \ell \neq 1 \) \( P \). If \( P \) is a(weakly) adjacent subset of \( V \), the structure \( S = (P; L; E) \) is a (near) linear space.

**Proof.** Each vertex \( \ell \) of \( L \) is common neighbour of at least two distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \) of \( P \), since \( |N(\ell)| = 2 \). Therefore \( v(\ell) = jy \leq 2 \). But obviously we are just counting the same number of 1's in each column, column by column, we get \( v(\ell) = jy \leq 2 \). Therefore \( v(\ell) = jy \leq 2 \). Therefore \( v(\ell) = jy \leq 2 \).

**Corollary.** If \( G = (P; L; E) \) is (weakly) adjacent bigraph with(weakly) adjacent part \( B \), \( B; L; E) \) is a (near)linear space where \( L = \{ \ell \in L : |N(\ell)| \leq 2 \} \) \( L \). Let \( G(\ell; B; L; E) \) denote the graph with parts \( B \) and \( L \). The point \( p \in B \) lies on the line \( L \) if the vertex \( p \) is adjacent to the vertex \( x \) in \( G \).

**Proposition 1.10.** Let \( G = (P \cup L; E) \) be a bigraph with parts \( P \) and \( L \), the part \( \ell \) of \( G \) be a weakly adjacent set and ordered pairs of vertices of \( G \) to a adjacent vertex pi of \( P \). So the left hand side of the inequality counts the number of ordered 6 pairs of coadjacent vertices of \( L \). Clearly there are altogether \( v(\ell_j) \) ordered pairs of vertices of \( L \). Thus the equality holds.

**Proposition 1.11.** Let \( G \) be a weakly adjacent bigraph with parts \( P \) and \( L \), the set \( \ell \) is a weakly adjacent set.

The set \( L \) of \( G \) is adjacent part of \( G \) if \( X p(\ell)E \cup P \), \( a(\ell) a(\ell_j) = |L| - (|L|-1) \)

**Proof.** It is clear from proposition 1.10.
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